

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/590,027	06/07/2000	Steven R. Kleiman	103.1037.01	8740		
22883	7590 03/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	KY LAW GROUP PC	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, CHAU T			
P.O. BOX 390 MOUNTAIN	VIEW, CA 94039-0013	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,		2176			
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/590,027		KLEIMAN, STEVEN R.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Chau Nguy	en	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this	s communication app			orrespondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS O - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If the period for reply specified above is les - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	communication. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the of this communication. s than thirty (30) days, a reply e maximum statutory period wheriod for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event within the statuto will apply and will a cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tin my minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1) Responsive to communica	ation(s) filed on 15 No	ovember 200)4.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .								
3) Since this application is in								
closed in accordance with	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10 and 12-26</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	wed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10 and 12-26</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10 and 12-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are obje	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject	ct to restriction and/or	r election red	juirement.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected	ed to by the Examiner	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request the	at any objection to the o	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required	I if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Ex	aminer. Not	the attached Office	Action or form PT	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreign	priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	None of:							
 Certified copies of the copies of the copies of the copies. 	he priority documents	s have been	received.					
	he priority documents							
· ·	ed copies of the prior			ed in this National	Stage			
	International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed C	Office action for a list	of the certific	ed copies not receive	∌ d.				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892))	4	1) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	0.450)			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Figure 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		6) Other:	Patent Application (PT0	J-102)			

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment, received on 11/15/2004, has been entered.. Claims 1-8, 10 and 12-26 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 10 and 12-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldrian et al. (Goldrian), U.S. Patent No. 6,026,448, Brock et al. (Brock), U.S. Patent No. 6,499,028, and further in view of Massa et al. (Massa), US. Patent No. 6,658,469.
- 4. As to claim 1, Goldrian discloses a method including steps of:

sending data between a client and a server using at least one of plural data buffer both in said client and in said server (Abstract and col. 2, line 58 – col. 3, line 25

Art Unit: 2176

and col. 11, lines 23-47: a message request is transferred from the request area of the originator buffer (client buffer) to the request area of the recipient buffer (server buffer))

However, Goldrian does not explicitly disclose plural data buffers of different sizes, at least some of said data buffers matched to sizes of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers; and wherein said step of sending selects one or more of said data buffers fro a data transfer responsive to a size of data blocks for said data transfer. Brock discloses a computer system includes a local node (client) is connected with one or more remote nodes (servers); the computer system contemplates a non-uniform memory architecture (NUMA) which performs incoming transactions and outgoing transactions between the local node and the remote nodes (Fig. 1, col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 31). Brock also discloses physical address space includes a plurality of memory region, and each is divided into a plurality of memory blocks, and data transaction matched in the corresponding region or memory block sizes (col. 3, lines 40-67 and col. 11, line 35 - col. 12, line 56). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Brock and Goldrian to include plural data buffers of different sizes, at least some of said data buffers matched to sizes of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers; and wherein said step of sending selects one or more of said data buffers fro a data transfer responsive to a size of data blocks for said data transfer. Due to variations in memory architecture implementation, page mechanism, caching policies, tuning or optimizing of any given NUMA system is most efficiently achieved with empirically gathered memory transaction data.

Art Unit: 2176

However, Goldrian and Brock do not explicitly disclose at least some of data buffers both in said client and in said server matched to sizes of data blocks. Massa discloses a data transfer between two applications 132 (client) and 136 (server), the client includes a switch 120 which associates a set of receive buffers 124 and send buffers 140 with the connection and sends a message 123 to the remote switch 126 of the server (col. 11, lines 10-20 and Fig. 5). Massa also discloses in col. 12, lines 1-59 and Fig. 6: the switch 126 in application 136 (server) sends an initial message, which includes information to indicate the size of the data to be transferred, to switch 120 in application 132 (client), then the switch 120 determines if the size of the receive buffers 134 in the client is large enough, and if it is then the switch 126 transfers an amount of data equal to the size of the receive buffers 134, and the switch 126 continues to transfer data into the receiving buffers 134 until all of the data is transferred. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Massa and Goldrian and Brock to include at least some of data buffers both in said client and in said server matched to sizes of data blocks to provide higher performance and to maximize the communication bandwidth and minimize the communication latency observed by the communicating applications.

5. As to claim 2, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein a request or a response for said data transfer includes at least some control information (Brock, col. 9, lines 11-36: transactions present on interconnect network may include data and control information); and

0.470

Art Unit: 2176

said steps of sending data are responsive to said control information (Brock, col. 9, lines 11-36).

6. As to claim 3, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein a request or a response for said data transfer includes at least one memory address (Brock, col. 9, lines 11-36: transactions include physical address (memory address));

said steps of sending data are responsive to said memory address, wherein said data is read from or written to a memory in response to said memory address (Brock, col. 9, line 11-36: physical address includes transaction types field indicating read/write transaction).

- 7. As to claim 4, Goldrian and Brock disclose a system including a client and server (Goldrian, Abstract);
- a NUMA communication link coupled to said client and server (Goldrian, col. 5, lines 12-16); and

plural data buffers both in said client and in said server for data transfers between said client and said server suing said NUMA communication link (Goldrian, Abstract and col. 2, line 58 – col. 3, line 25, col. 6, line 64 – col. 7, line 29, and col. 11, lines 23-47: a message request is transferred from the request area of the originator buffer (client buffer) to the request area of the recipient buffer (server buffer));

plural data buffers of different sizes for data transfers between said client and said server using said NUMA communication link, at least some of said data buffers

Art Unit: 2176

matched to sizes of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers; wherein one or more of said data buffers is selected for a data transfer location responsive to a size of data blocks for said data transfer (Brock, Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 40-67, col. 6, line 37 - col. 7, line 31, and col. 11, line 35 - col. 12, line 56) (Brock, Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 40-67, col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 31, and col. 11, line 35 – col. 12, line 56: Brock discloses a computer system includes a local node (client) is connected with one or more remote nodes (servers); the computer system contemplates a non-uniform memory architecture (NUMA) which performs incoming transactions and outgoing transactions between the local node and the remote nodes (Fig. 1, col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 31). Brock also discloses physical address space includes a plurality of memory region, and each is divided into a plurality of memory blocks, and data transaction matched in the corresponding region or memory block sizes (col. 3, lines 40-67 and col. 11, line 35 – col. 12, line 56). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Brock and Goldrian to include plural data buffers of different sizes, at least some of said data buffers matched to sizes of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers; and wherein said step of sending selects one or more of said data buffers fro a data transfer responsive to a size of data blocks for said data transfer. Due to variations in memory architecture implementation, page mechanism, caching policies, tuning or optimizing of any given NUMA system is most efficiently achieved with empirically gathered memory transaction data).

Art Unit: 2176

However, Goldrian and Brock do not explicitly disclose at least some of data buffers both in said client and in said server matched to sizes of data blocks. Massa discloses a data transfer between two applications 132 (client) and 136 (server), the client includes a switch 120 which associates a set of receive buffers 124 and send buffers 140 with the connection and sends a message 123 to the remote switch 126 of the server (col. 11, lines 10-20 and Fig. 5). Massa also discloses in col. 12, lines 1-59 and Fig. 6: the switch 126 in application 136 (server) sends an initial message, which includes information to indicate the size of the data to be transferred, to switch 120 in application 132 (client), then the switch 120 determines if the size of the receive buffers 134 in the client is large enough, and if it is then the switch 126 transfers an amount of data equal to the size of the receive buffers 134, and the switch 126 continues to transfer data into the receiving buffers 134 until all of the data is transferred. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Massa and Goldrian and Brock to include at least some of data buffers both in said client and in said server matched to sizes of data blocks to provide higher performance and to maximize the communication bandwidth and minimize the communication latency observed by the communicating applications.

8. As to claim 5, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose a byte serial communication link, wherein said data transfer also uses said byte serial communication link (Goldrian, col. 6, lines 3-14).

9. As to claim 6, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein either said client or server performs processing of information in said data transfer;

said processing is performed in an order convenient to both said client and server (Goldrian, col. 1, lines 16-23); and

said order is decoupled from an order of said data transfer (Goldrian, col. 1, lines 16-23 and col. 2, line 58 – col. 3, line 25).

- 10. As to claim 7, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein said data transfer is responsive to control information in a request or a response for said data transfer (Brock, col. 9, lines 11-36).
- 11. As to claim 8, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein said data transfer is responsive to a request or a response for said data transfer (Goldrian, Abstract, col. 5, lines 12-16 and col. 7, lines 1-29).
- 12. As to claim 10, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein said one or more data buffers also is selected responsive to control information in a request or a response for said data transfer (Brock, col. 9, lines 11-36).
- 13. As to claims 12, 21, and 25-26, Goldrian discloses a system including a server, said server having a memory including a client communication region and data transfer

Art Unit: 2176

region, said data transfer region having plural data buffers (Abstract and col. 2, line 58 – col. 3, line 25 and col. 11, lines 23-47);

a remote DMA communication link coupled to said data transfer region (Goldrian, Abstract, and col. 8, line 40 – col. 9, line 49);

wherein said client communication region includes information regarding a data transfer into or out of said data transfer region (Goldrian, Abstract, and col. 8, line 40 – col. 9, line 49);

However, Goldrian does not explicitly disclose data buffers of different sizes for data transfers to and from a client, at least some of said data buffers matched to different sizes of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers and matched to different sizes of data buffers in said client that are also matched to said different sizes of said data blocks to be transferred; and wherein one or more of said server data buffers is selected for a data transfer responsive to a size of data block for said transfer. Brock discloses a computer system includes a local node is connected with one or more remote nodes; the computer system contemplates a non-uniform memory architecture (NUMA) which performs incoming transactions and outgoing transactions between the local node and the remote nodes (Fig. 1, col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 31). Brock also discloses physical address space includes a plurality of memory region, and each is divided into a plurality of memory blocks, and data transaction matched in the corresponding region or memory block sizes (col. 3, lines 40-67 and col. 11, line 35 – col. 12, line 56). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Brock and

Art Unit: 2176

Goldrian to include plural data buffers of different sizes, at least some of said data buffers matched to sizes of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers; and wherein said step of sending selects one or more of said data buffers fro a data transfer responsive to a size of data blocks for said data transfer. Due to variations in memory architecture implementation, page mechanism, caching policies, tuning or optimizing of any given NUMA system is most efficiently achieved with empirically gathered memory transaction data.

However, Goldrian and Brock do not explicitly disclose at least some of data buffers both in said client and in said server matched to sizes of data blocks. Massa discloses a data transfer between two applications 132 (client) and 136 (server), the client includes a switch 120 which associates a set of receive buffers 124 and send buffers 140 with the connection and sends a message 123 to the remote switch 126 of the server (col. 11, lines 10-20 and Fig. 5). Massa also discloses in col. 12, lines 1-59 and Fig. 6: the switch 126 in application 136 (server) sends an initial message, which includes information to indicate the size of the data to be transferred, to switch 120 in application 132 (client), then the switch 120 determines if the size of the receive buffers 134 in the client is large enough, and if it is then the switch 126 transfers an amount of data equal to the size of the receive buffers 134, and the switch 126 continues to transfer data into the receiving buffers 134 until all of the data is transferred. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Massa and Goldrian and Brock to include at least some of data buffers both in said client and in said server matched to sizes of data blocks to

Art Unit: 2176

provide higher performance and to maximize the communication bandwidth and minimize the communication latency observed by the communicating applications.

- 14. As to claim 13, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose a byte serial communication link coupled to said client communication region (Goldrian, col. 6, lines 3-14).
- 15. As to claim 14, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose a processing element is said server coupled to said data transfer region, said processing element responsive to a request from a client or a response to a client (Goldrian, col. 9, line 64 col. 10, line 5).
- 16. As to claim 15, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose a processing element in said server coupled to said data transfer region, said processing element responsive to control information in said client communication region (Goldrian, col. 9, line 64 col. 10, line 5).
- 17. As to claims 16 and 22-23, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose a processing element in said server coupled to said data transfer region, said processing element using information if said data transfer region independently of said remote DMA communication link (Goldrian, col. 4, lines 1-26 and col. 9, line 64 col. 10, line 5).
- 18. As to claim 17, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose a request from a client or a response to said client having information regarding a location within data transfer region (Brock, col. 9, line 11-36: physical address includes transaction types field indicating read/write transaction).

Art Unit: 2176

19. As to claim 18, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein said client

communication region stores a request from a client or a response to said client

(Goldrian, col. 7, lines 1-29).

20. As to claim 19, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein said data transfer

region stores a data transfer to or from a client (Goldrian, Abstract, and col. 2, lines 26-

57).

21. As to claim 20, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein said remote DMA

communication link includes a NUMA communication link (Goldrian, col. 4, lines 1-19

and col. 7, lines 15-29).

22. As to claim 24, Goldrian, Brock and Massa disclose wherein said client includes

a database server (Goldrian, col. 4, lines 1-19).

Response of Arguments

Applicant's arguments and amendments filed on 11/15/2004 have been fully considered

but they are not deemed fully persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims

1, 4, 12, 21, and 25-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s)

of rejection as explained above, necessitated by Applicant's substantial amendment

(i.e., plural data buffers of different sizes both in said client and in said server, at least

some of said data buffers both in said client and in said server matched to sizes of data

blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers) to the claims which

significantly affected the scope thereof.

Art Unit: 2176

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chau Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4092. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am – 5:00 pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph Feild, can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2176 OSEPH FEILD